

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND**

WESTERN RESERVE LIFE ASSURANCE :  
CO. OF OHIO, :  
Plaintiff, :

vs. :

C.A. No. 09-470 WS

CONREAL LLC, HARRISON CONDIT, :  
FORTUNE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., :  
And ANTHONY PITOCCHO, :  
Defendants. :

TRANSAMERICA LIFE INSURANCE :  
COMPANY, :  
Plaintiff, :

vs. :

C.A. No. 09-471 WS

JOSEPH CARAMADRE, RAYMOUR :  
RADHAKRISHNAN, ESTATE PLANNING :  
RESOURCES, INC., ESTELLA RODRIGEUS :  
EDWARD MAGGIACOMO, JR., LIFEMARK :  
SECURITIES CORP., and PATRICK GARVEY, :  
Defendants. :

WESTERN RESERVE LIFE ASSURANCE :  
CO. OF OHIO :  
Plaintiff, :

vs. :

C.A. No. 09-472 WS

JOSEPH CARAMADRE, RAYMOUR :  
RADHAKRISHNAN, ESTATE PLANNING :  
RESOURCES, INC., ADM ASSOCIATES, :  
LLC, EDWARD HANRAHAN, THE LEADERS :  
GROUP, INC., and CHARLES BUCKMAN, :  
Defendants. :

WESTERN RESERVE LIFE ASSURANCE :  
COMPANY OF OHIO, :  
Plaintiff, :

vs. :

C.A. No. 09-473 WS

JOSEPH CARAMADRE, RAYMOUR :  
RADHAKRISHNAN, ESTATE PLANNING :  
RESOURCES, INC., DK LLC, EDWARD :  
HANRAHAN, THE LEADERS GROUP, INC., :  
and JASON VEVEIROS, :  
Defendants. :

WESTERN RESERVE LIFE ASSURANCE :  
COMPANY OF OHIO, :  
Plaintiff, :

vs. :

C.A. No. 09-502 WS

JOSEPH CARAMADRE, RAYMOUR :  
RADHAKRISHNAN, ESTATE PLANNING :  
RESOURCES, INC., NATCO PRODUCTS :  
CORP., EDWARD HANRAHAN, and THE :  
LEADERS GROUP, INC., :  
Defendants. :

TRANSAMERICA LIFE INSURANCE :  
COMPANY, :  
Plaintiff, :

vs. :

C.A. No. 09-549 WS

LIFEMARK SECURITIES CORP., :  
JOSEPH CARAMADRE, RAYMOUR :  
RADHAKRISHNAN, ESTATE PLANNING :  
RESOURCES, INC., and EDWARD :  
MAGGIACOMO, JR., :  
Defendants. :

WESTERN RESERVE LIFE ASSURANCE :  
COMPANY OF OHIO, :  
Plaintiff, :  
: :  
vs. :  
: :  
JOSEPH CARAMADRE, RAYMOUR :  
RADHAKRISHNAN, ESTATE PLANNING :  
RESOURCES, INC., HARRISON CONDIT and :  
FORTUNE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., :  
Defendants. :

C.A. No. 09-564 WS

### **SCHEDULING ORDER**

This matter having come before the Court on December 10, 2009, the following scheduling order is hereby entered:

1. Motions to Dismiss have been filed by all Defendants in Case Nos. 470, 471, 472, 473 and 502. The Defendants in Case Nos. 549 and 564 shall file Motions to Dismiss on or before January 13, 2010. In their memoranda in support of these Motions to Dismiss, to the extent that any Defendant raises any of the same issues as that Defendant raised in Case Nos. 470, 471, 472, 473 and 502, the applicable arguments may be included by reference to the earlier memoranda and not repeated.

2. Plaintiffs shall file individual objections to the Motions to Dismiss in each case on or before February 1, 2010. However, in support of those objections, Plaintiffs shall simultaneously file a single consolidated memorandum of law in each case responding to all arguments raised by all Defendants.

3. Defendants in all cases shall file any brief reply memoranda on or before February 12, 2010. Defense counsel shall confer on the arguments to be made in any such reply and, to the fullest extent possible, shall minimize duplication by joining or adopting by reference arguments made by other counsel.

4. The Court will hear argument on the motions to dismiss on

March 4<sup>th</sup>, 2010 at 9:30 am. At argument, defense counsel designated by the Defendants shall argue the issues common to all Defendants, and arguments of other defense counsel shall be limited to issues specifically applicable to their individual clients.


5. Until the Court rules on the motions to dismiss, discovery proceedings in all cases shall be stayed, with the exception of the depositions of the terminally ill annuitants in Case Nos. 09-470, 09-472, and 09-473, to be taken according to the procedure for such depositions contained in the Order entered by Magistrate Judge Martin on October 27, 2009.<sup>1</sup> Any request by any party for any other discovery in any of the cases pending disposition of the motions to dismiss shall be made by motion to the Court.

6. All previous motions to stay, as well as motions to seal filed in connection therewith, are hereby deemed withdrawn, subject to the Defendants' right to refile them if necessary, and the Defendants shall not be required file any further motions to stay proceedings in any of these cases until further order of the Court. In the event that the rulings on the Motions to Dismiss do not terminate the proceedings in any case, the Court will schedule a further conference to consider the future proceedings and any other motions to stay before discovery is allowed to proceed, subject to the qualifications in paragraph 5 above.

---

<sup>1</sup>Document discovery in connection with the depositions may be conducted informally, limited to documents related to a specific deponent, and shall occur only when a deposition has actually been scheduled. In such event, as soon as defense counsel receive Rule 15 deposition exhibits, they will provide plaintiffs' counsel with copies thereof. Counsel may request from each other documents in connection with a particular scheduled deposition, and to the extent such request is refused, requesting counsel may seek relief from the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William E. Smith  
United States District Judge  
Date: 12/21/09